

**Testimony of  
W. Michael VonSteuben**

1                   **DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**  
2                   **TESTIMONY OF W. MICHAEL VONSTEUBEN**  
3                   **BEFORE THE DELAWARE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
4                   **CONCERNING AN INCREASE IN ELECTRIC BASE RATES**  
5                   **DOCKET NO. 11-\_\_\_**  
6 \_\_\_\_\_

7   **1. Q: Please state your name and position, and business address.**

8       A:       My name is W. Michael VonSteuben. I am Manager, Revenue  
9       Requirements and Regulatory Accounting, in the Regulatory Affairs Department  
10      of Pepco Holdings, Inc. (PHI), which is located at 401 Eagle Run Road, Newark,  
11      DE 19702. I am testifying on behalf of Delmarva Power & Light Company  
12      (Delmarva or the Company).

13   **2. Q: Please state your educational background and professional qualifications.**

14      A:       I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration from  
15      the University of Delaware in 1976. In December 1978, I joined Delmarva in the  
16      Payroll section of the Accounting Department. In 1980, I was promoted into the  
17      Plant Accounting Department with responsibility related to the book and tax  
18      treatment of the Company's utility plant.

19              In September 1984, I was promoted to Senior Analyst in the Regulatory  
20      Practice Department. I was promoted to Staff Analyst in June 1987 and to  
21      Supervisor in March 1998. I was designated a Senior Regulatory Leader in 2000  
22      and promoted to my current position in November 2004. My responsibilities  
23      include the coordination of revenue requirement determinations in Delaware,  
24      Maryland, New Jersey and the District of Columbia as well as coordinating  
25      various other regulatory compliance matters.

1    3. Q: Have you recently testified before the Delaware Public Service Commission?

2    A:        Yes, I have. I have recently presented testimony as a witness before the  
3        Delaware Public Service Commission (DPSC or the Commission) in the  
4        Company's two most recent base rate proceedings, Electric Docket No. 09-414  
5        and Gas Docket No. 10-237, as well as presented testimony before the Maryland  
6        Public Service Commission, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, the Public  
7        Service Commission of the District of Columbia and the Virginia State  
8        Corporation Commission.

9    4. Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?

10    A:        The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain the basis for the  
11        development of the Company's Delaware Distribution-related Revenue  
12        Requirement request. My testimony will present the separation of Delmarva  
13        system costs into first a distribution component and then into the Delaware  
14        Distribution component. I also present the per-book Earnings and Rate Base for  
15        use in this filing along with the quantification and support of certain adjustments.  
16        I summarize the adjustments being proposed by all the witnesses as well as the  
17        revenue requirement request of the Company. I sponsor certain adjustments which  
18        are both described in my testimony and have supporting detail that can be found  
19        in Schedules WMV 1 – 18, which accompany this filing. I am also sponsoring  
20        certain Minimum Filing Requirements (MFR). These schedules, workpapers and  
21        the MFR were prepared under my direction and/or supervision.

22            In addition, I will discuss the returns that the Company has actually been  
23        earning on its rate base. I will demonstrate that the Company has been unable to

1 earn its authorized returns using the current ratemaking formula. As a result, I will  
2 provide an analysis that will demonstrate the difference between the cost of  
3 service that the Company recognizes using proforma adjustments previously  
4 recognized by the Commission and the cost of service developed using the inputs  
5 supported by the Direct Testimony of Company Witness McGowan that will exist  
6 during the rate-effective period.

### 7 **FILING REQUIREMENTS**

#### 8 **5. Q: What Minimum Filing Requirements (MFR) are you sponsoring?**

9 **A:** I am sponsoring the following filing requirements:

10	Schedule A	Period Definitions
11	Schedule C	Elements of the Increase & Items that
12		Depart from Last Decision
13	Schedule 1	Financial Summary
14	Schedule 2	Rate Base Summary
15	Schedule 2A	Used and Useful Utility Plant
16	Schedule 2B	Intangible Assets
17	Schedule 2C	Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization,
18		and Customer Advances
19	Schedule 2D	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes &
20		Investment Tax Credit
21	Schedule 2E	Materials and Supplies
22	Schedule 2F	Other Elements of Property and CWIP
23	Schedule 3	Summary of Net Operating Income

1	Schedule 3A, Page 1	Revenues
2	Schedule 3B	Operating Expenses
3	Schedule 3C	Payroll Costs
4	Schedule 3D	Executive Compensation
5	Schedule 3E	Sales Promotion and Advertising
6	Schedule 3F	Contributions
7	Schedule 3G	Association Dues
8	Schedule 3H	Rate Case Expense
9	Schedule 3I	Income Taxes and Provisions
10	Schedule 3J	Federal and State Income Taxes
11	Schedule 3K	Deferred Federal and State Income Taxes
12	Schedule 3L	Investment Tax Credit
13	Schedule 3M	Other Taxes
14	Schedule 3O	Other Income
15	Schedule 5	Revenue Conversion Factor

#### **TEST PERIOD**

17 **6. Q: What are the test year and the test periods presented in this filing?**

18 **A:** The test year, which is used for cost allocation purposes, is the actual  
19 twelve months data ending June 2011. The test period, which is used for revenue  
20 requirement purposes is the six months actual - six months forecast ending  
21 December 2011. The test period will be updated to the twelve months of actual  
22 information ending December 2011 during the course of this proceeding.

1 7. Q: **Based on precedent, is this an appropriate test period?**

2 A: Yes. While the use of fully forecasted test period would be the best basis  
3 for establishing rates for the rate-effective period, the Company's presentation  
4 using Commission precedent represents a reasonable basis for establishing the  
5 Company's revenue requirements for the rate effective period, the 12 months  
6 ending June 2013. With the adjustments presented in this filing, this test period  
7 provides a matching of revenues, expenses and rate base consistent with  
8 Commission regulations and is reasonable based on past precedent as the basis for  
9 establishing the Company's revenue requirements for the rate effective period.

10 8. Q: **Please describe how the Company plans on providing updated Test Period**  
11 **data to the Commission as required by the Minimum Filing Requirements.**

12 A: The test period is the six months actual and six months of forecasted data  
13 ending December 31, 2011. The MFR require that three additional months of total  
14 Company data be provided 60 days after the quarter closes. While the Company is  
15 only required to update that actual total Company data for the period July 2011  
16 through September 2011, the Company will provide a complete updated fully  
17 adjusted test period based on all actual data for the twelve months ending  
18 December 31, 2011 to the Staff and all parties in March 2012. This will allow the  
19 Staff and parties adequate time to perform discovery and complete their analysis.

**RATE INCREASE REQUEST**

9. Q: **Have you prepared schedules that summarize the Company's rate increase request?**

A: Yes. Schedule WMV-1, Page 2 presents a summary of the necessary financial and accounting data for the test period ending December 31, 2011. Schedule WMV-1, Page 2 displays a fully adjusted rate of return of only 4.74%; this rate translates to a return on equity of 4.43%. Also listed on WMV-1, Page 2 is the responsible witness for each adjustment. Schedule WMV-2 provides the calculation of the increase in revenues necessary to earn the 7.87% rate of return supported by the Direct Testimony of Company Witness McGowan. This schedule supports an increase of \$31,760,741 and the impact on customers is discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company Witness Santacecilia.

10. Q: **Please summarize the contents of Schedules WMV-1 and WMV-2.**

A: Schedule WMV-1, Page 1 presents the Company's unadjusted total system, total distribution and Delaware jurisdictional rate base and earnings results of operation for the provision of distribution service for the six months actual and six months of forecasted data ending December 31, 2011. Schedule WMV-1, Page 2 provides a summary of the earnings and rate base amounts for each ratemaking adjustment along with the responsible witness. Schedule WMV-2 provides the Company's proposed revenue requirement increase of \$31,760,741.

1 **11. Q: Please describe the development of per books rate base and earnings.**

2 A: The rate base for the test year and test period is comprised of average  
3 balances and is summarized on Schedule WMV-1. Earnings for the test year and  
4 test period are also summarized on Schedule WMV-1.

5 The source of the data for the test year and test period consists of the  
6 Company's books and records provided in the Direct Testimony of Company  
7 Witness Kathleen White. The forecasted data has been similarly assembled and  
8 organized to provide the monthly data for the parties in this proceeding. Detail  
9 for the test year and test period can be found in the workpapers contained in  
10 Book 1 that accompanies the Company's Application.

11 Earnings include Operating Revenues less Operating Expense and Interest  
12 on Customer Deposits plus the Allowance for Funds Used During Construction  
13 (AFUDC), as shown on Schedule WMV-1. The per book rate base is detailed by  
14 component on Schedule WMV-1. Additions to rate base are included as they  
15 represent investment in facilities used to serve the Company's customers as well  
16 as investor-supplied working capital necessary for the Company's day-to-day  
17 operations. Certain items are deducted from rate base as they represent funds  
18 supplied by customers (or at least not investor-provided). Rate base includes Net  
19 Plant, Construction Work in Progress (CWIP), Materials and Supplies and  
20 Working Capital, less Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, Unamortized  
21 Investment Tax Credits, Customer Advances and Customer Deposits.



1 **DISTRIBUTION COST OF SERVICE**

2 **12. Q: Please discuss the development of Delmarva's cost of service on a**  
3 **distribution only-basis.**

4 A: The basis for Delmarva's distribution-only cost of service is the  
5 distribution accounts as specified in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
6 (FERC) Uniform System of Accounts. In addition, I have allocated to distribution  
7 a portion of other Company cost elements functionalized as general, intangible  
8 and miscellaneous. The result of this separation or functionalization of costs is  
9 shown in Schedule WMV-1.

10 **13. Q: Please describe the detail provided on Schedule WMV-1.**

11 A: Schedule WMV-1 shows the items of rate base, revenue, expense and  
12 return for Delmarva for the total Company in column (3), titled "Adjusted System  
13 Electric", and those same cost elements for the distribution function in Column  
14 (4), titled "Total Distribution". I then allocate these distribution costs to the  
15 Delaware jurisdiction. Column (3) shows total System Electric rate base of  
16 \$1,272,027,342, total operating revenues of \$1,087,801,721, total operating  
17 expenses of \$1,002,144,565, and operating income of \$85,657,156. As I described  
18 above, I separate each cost element into its Delaware distribution component. The  
19 Delaware distribution component is shown in column (5) of this schedule. The  
20 Delaware distribution rate base is \$569,463,900, total operating revenues are  
21 \$171,224,960, total operating expenses are \$142,565,231, and operating income is  
22 \$28,659,729.

1    **14. Q: How are system distribution costs developed?**

2        A:        Delmarva's overall costs consist of supply, transmission and distribution-  
3        related costs. Distribution plant costs are those costs contained in the FERC  
4        distribution accounts, numbers 360 to 373. Distribution expense costs are those  
5        costs contained in the FERC distribution accounts (inclusive of Customer  
6        Accounts Expense, Customer Service and Informational Expenses, and Sales  
7        Expenses), numbers 580 through 916. The exception to this process is Account  
8        904, Uncollectible Accounts, which has to be functionalized. Transmission plant  
9        costs are from the FERC's transmission accounts, numbered 350 through 359.  
10       Transmission expense costs are those costs contained in the FERC transmission  
11       accounts, numbers 560 through 573.

12       Other costs, such as General Plant and Administrative and General  
13       Expenses, are contained in FERC accounts that are not specific to the  
14       transmission and distribution functions and thus have to be functionalized to  
15       produce the distribution-related portion of these costs.

16    **15. Q: Was a lead/lag study prepared by the Company to determine the cash**  
17    **working capital requirement in its current filling?**

18       A:        Yes. The results of the lead/lag study are reflected in Schedules WMV-3.  
19       The total per books distribution Delmarva Power cash working capital  
20       requirement is \$19,666,611. The Delaware distribution cash working capital  
21       requirement is \$10,694,758 as shown in Schedule WMV-3.

1 16. Q: What period of time was used for preparing the lead/lag study?

2 A: All transactions used in preparing the lead/lag study were from calendar  
3 year 2010.

4 17. Q: Have the factors developed in the lead/lag study been applied to the test  
5 period results of operations?

6 A: Yes. The cash working capital lag factors were computed on historic data  
7 and applied to the test period results of operations.

8 **RATEMAKING ADJUSTMENTS**

9 18. Q: Please list the pro forma adjustments that you are sponsoring in this  
10 proceeding.

11 A: The pro forma adjustments that I am sponsoring are as follows:

- 12 • Adjustment No. 1 – Weather Normalization;
- 13 • Adjustment No. 3 – Regulatory Commission Expense Normalization;
- 14 • Adjustment No. 5 – Uncollectible Expense Normalization;
- 15 • Adjustment No. 6 – Adjust Wage and Federal Insurance Contributions  
16 Act (FICA) Expense;
- 17 • Adjustment No. 7 – Remove Employee Association Expense;
- 18 • Adjustment No. 11 – Removal of Executive Incentive Compensation;
- 19 • Adjustment No. 12 – Removal of Certain Officer Compensation;
- 20 • Adjustment No. 13 – Storm Restoration Expense Normalization;
- 21 • Adjustment No. 14 – Amortize Hurricane Irene Costs;
- 22 • Adjustment No. 16 – Reflect Increased Customer Care Expense;

- 1           • Adjustment No. 21 – Reliability Plant Closing Adjustment from January
- 2           2011 to December 2011;
- 3           • Adjustment No. 22 – Proforma Forecasted Reliability Closings; and
- 4           • Adjustments No. 28 and No. 29 – Interest Synchronization and Cash
- 5           Working Capital (CWC) for the proforma adjustments.

6   **19. Q: Why are you making these adjustments?**

7       A:       These adjustments are being made to establish a level of earnings and rate

8       base more representative of the rate effective period as a basis for providing just

9       and reasonable rates. Many of these adjustments reflect previously approved

10      ratemaking treatment by the Commission. Other adjustments have been made to

11      assure that the rate effective period reflects a matching of all elements of the

12      ratemaking formula for known and measurable changes. Workpapers supporting

13      each of these adjustments are included in Book 4 of this filing.

14   **20. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 1, Weather Normalization.**

15      A:       Consistent with the adjustment approved by the Commission in Docket

16      No. 09-414, this adjustment restates distribution sales to reflect normal weather

17      conditions for the test period. In order for the test period to be representative of

18      normal weather, test period sales and revenues must be adjusted. For the six

19      months of data ending June 30, 2011, actual test period sales are 43,924 MWh

20      above normal weather. Normal weather is defined in this calculation as the

21      average number of Heating Degree Days (HDD) and Cooling Degree Days

22      (CDD) in each month over the thirty year period 1980 to 2010. For an individual

23      day, the number of the CDD or HDD that occur is defined as the difference

1 between the average of the daily high and low temperature and a comfort  
2 threshold of 65 degrees Fahrenheit. If this difference is negative, it is set to zero  
3 to show that there was no heating or cooling load. For example, if the average of  
4 the daily high and low temperatures is 72 degrees Fahrenheit, there are 7 CDD  
5 and zero HDD; if the average temperature is 55 degrees, there are 10 HDD and  
6 zero CDD. Daily CDDs and HDDs are summed to yield monthly HDD or CDD,  
7 and then averaged by month over a rolling thirty-year period to yield monthly  
8 normal HDD or CDD. This adjustment was calculated using actual degree day  
9 data experienced during the test period and thirty-year average weather data based  
10 on data collected by the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
11 (NOAA) at the weather station located at the Wilmington Airport. Once the  
12 normal sales were determined, the adjusted sales were then priced out by revenue  
13 class based on the average rate per kWh by revenue class. As shown on Schedule  
14 WMV-4, weather normalization of test period sales will result in a \$514,774  
15 decrease to test year operating income.

16 **21. Q: Please describe the adjustment made to restate Regulatory Commission**  
17 **Expense, Adjustment No. 3.**

18 A: Consistent with the treatment included in Docket Nos. 94-22, 03-127 and  
19 05-304 and 09-414, the amount expensed in the test period was adjusted for two  
20 items. The first is to normalize the test period level of expense using a three-year  
21 average. The second item is to adjust the test period level of expense to reflect the  
22 cost of this filing, which includes the costs of Staff, amortized over a three-year

1 period. This adjustment results in a \$63,766 decrease to test year operating  
2 income and is detailed on Schedule WMV-5.

3 **22. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 5, the adjustment made to Normalize the**  
4 **Company's Uncollectible Expense.**

5 A: Consistent with the treatment included in Docket Nos. 03-127, 05-304 and  
6 09-414, I have normalized the Company's test period level of uncollectible  
7 expense using a three-year average of this expense. This adjustment detailed on  
8 Schedule WMV-6 and results in a \$73,511 decrease to the test period operating  
9 income.

10 **23. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 6, the adjustment made to reflect the**  
11 **Company's Proposed Wage and FICA Expense.**

12 A: Consistent with the treatment included in Docket Nos. 94-22, 03-127, 05-  
13 304, and 09-414, the Company's test period wage and FICA levels of expense  
14 were adjusted for the known price changes required to be made to be reflective of  
15 the rate effective period. These include:

- 16 • the actual non-union wage increase of 3.01% effective March 2011 for  
17 2 months,
- 18 • an actual wage increase of 2.00% for IBEW Local 1238 effective in  
19 February 2011 for 1 month,
- 20 • an actual wage increase of 2.00% for IBEW Local 1307 effective in  
21 June 2011 for 6 months,
- 22 • an estimated non-union wage increase of 3.00% effective March 2012  
23 for 12 months,

- 1           • an actual wage increase of 2.00% for IBEW Local 1238 effective in
- 2           February 2012 for 12 months,
- 3           • an actual wage increase of 2.00% for IBEW Local 1307 effective in
- 4           June 2012 for 12 months,
- 5           • an estimated non-union wage increase of 3.00% effective March 2013
- 6           for 4 months, and
- 7           • an estimated wage increase of 2.00% for IBEW Local 1238 effective
- 8           in February 2013 for 5 months.

9           These wage increases have been applied to the Company's test period salaries and  
10          wages to be reflective of the rate effective period, July 2012 through June 2013.  
11          Updates to estimated information will be provided during the course of the  
12          proceeding. In addition to the wage increases that I have noted earlier, I am also  
13          supporting the amortization of the contractually-agreed to union lump-sum  
14          payment that was paid in 2010 to IBEW LUs 1238 and 1307 as part of the labor  
15          contracts with those bargaining units. I propose to amortize these costs over the  
16          three-year life of the associated labor contracts that are currently in effect. This  
17          wage-FICA adjustment is detailed on Schedule WMV-7.

18   **24. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 7, which is the adjustment to Remove**  
19   **Employee Association expense.**

20   **A:**       Consistent with past treatment including the treatment in the most recent  
21               proceeding, Docket No. 09-414, the amounts charged to expense for support of  
22               the Employees' Association was removed for ratemaking purposes. This

1 adjustment is detailed on Schedule WMV-8 and results in a \$57,289 increase to  
2 test year operating income.

3 **25. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 11, which is the adjustment to Remove**  
4 **Executive Incentive Compensation Expense.**

5 A: This adjustment removes the test period level of expense associated with  
6 executive incentives. These "compensation at risk" payments are an important  
7 component of the Company's total executive compensation, and are likely to  
8 continue to be so in the future. I am excluding such amounts in light of the current  
9 economic environment. As displayed on Schedule WMV-9, the Company is  
10 removing \$1,032,906 of test period O&M expense related to executive incentive  
11 compensation in this adjustment.

12 **26. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 12, which is the adjustment to Remove**  
13 **Certain Officer Compensation.**

14 A: Consistent with the treatment approved in Docket No. 09-414, this  
15 adjustment removes the test level period of expense associated with certain officer  
16 compensation and perquisites. As displayed on Schedule WMV-10, the Company  
17 is removing \$64,187 of test period O&M expense related certain officer  
18 compensation and perquisites.

19 **27. Q: Please describe Adjustment 13, which is the adjustment to Normalize Storm**  
20 **Restoration Expense.**

21 A: Consistent with the treatment approved in Docket No. 09-414, this  
22 adjustment normalizes non-Hurricane Irene storm expense using a three year



1 average. This adjustment is detailed on Schedule WMV-11 and results in a  
2 \$1,883,778 increase to test period operating income.

3 **28. Q: Please describe Adjustment 14, which is the adjustment to Amortize the Test**  
4 **Period Expense Associated with Hurricane Irene.**

5 A: During the test period, there is an estimated \$3,545,197 of incremental  
6 expense related to the restoration efforts associated with Hurricane Irene. This  
7 adjustment removes the test period amount of \$3,545,197 associated with  
8 Hurricane Irene and instead proposes amortizing this amount over a three-year  
9 period with the unamortized amount included in rate base. I will provide an  
10 update to this estimated amount during the course of this proceeding. This  
11 adjustment is detailed on Schedule WMV-12 and results in a \$1,402,598 increase  
12 to test period operating income and an increase in rate base of \$1,753,248.

13 **29. Q: Please describe Adjustment 16, which is the adjustment to Reflect Increased**  
14 **Expense Associated with Customer Care Resources.**

15 A: As Company Witness Dickerson describes in his Direct Testimony, this  
16 adjustment reflects the increase in expense associated with customer care  
17 resources for the rate effective period. This adjustment is detailed on Schedule  
18 WMV-13 and results in a \$438,520 decrease to test period operating income.

19 **30. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 21, which is the adjustment to reflect**  
20 **Reliability Plant Projects Added to Plant During the Test Period.**

21 A: Consistent with the treatment approved by the Commission in the last  
22 electric base rate case, Docket No. 09-414, this adjustment annualizes the effect  
23 of the reliability projects, discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company Witness

1 Gausman, that were placed into service during the test period and immediately  
2 after the test period that can be updated to actual information during the course of  
3 this proceeding. In addition to annualizing the depreciation expense and including  
4 the full year's effect in rate base of the projects placed in service, I also removed  
5 from cost of service the reliability projects that were included in construction  
6 work in progress as well as any associated AFUDC. In addition, I also removed  
7 from cost of service the full year's effect of any distribution retirements that  
8 occurred during the test period. As a result, for the rate-effective period, these  
9 adjustments would prevent double-recovery of these items and appropriately yield  
10 a matching of benefits that customers would receive to the cost associated with  
11 providing reliable service. The adjustments of a \$607,847 decrease to test year  
12 operating income as well as a \$397,219 increase in net rate base are summarized  
13 on Schedule WMV-14.

14 **31. Q: Please describe Adjustment No. 22 additional Reliability Plant Expenditures**  
15 **Forecasted to be Closed to Plant After the End of the Test Period.**

16 A: This adjustment recognizes the annualized effect of the additional  
17 reliability projects that are forecasted to be placed into plant in service after  
18 December 2011 based on the capital expenditures discussed in the Direct  
19 Testimony of Company Witness Gausman. I have annualized the depreciation  
20 expense and included in rate base the full year's effect of the referenced projects.  
21 I also have included the effect of the forecasted distribution retirements. The  
22 estimated expenditures included in the Company's initial filing will be updated  
23 for actual reliability plant closings placed into service during the course of the

1 proceeding. As a result, in the rate-effective period, these adjustments would  
2 appropriately yield a matching of the benefits that customers would receive to the  
3 costs associated with reliable service. The adjustments of a \$299,844 decrease to  
4 test year operating income as well as a \$24,693,360 increase in net rate base are  
5 summarized on Schedule WMV-15.

6 **32. Q: Please describe the Interest Synchronization and Cash Working Capital**  
7 **Adjustments that you support in this proceeding, Adjustments No. 28 and 29.**

8 A: This adjustment, shown on Schedule WMV-16, synchronizes the interest  
9 expense utilized in the per books income tax calculation with the adjusted rate  
10 base and the tax deductible component included in the cost of capital. Schedule  
11 WMV-16 also displays the change in cash working capital associated with the  
12 proforma adjustments.

13 **33. Q: Do the Company's proposed rate base and earnings conform to the**  
14 **Commission's last detailed decision, Docket No. 09-414?**

15 A: Yes, although there are two items that differ from the Commission's  
16 decision in Docket No. 09-414. The Commission did not include CWIP in rate  
17 base but indicated that it was within its discretion in future cases to determine  
18 whether CWIP should be included in rate base. I have included CWIP in rate base  
19 with the corresponding accrued AFUDC in earnings and I provide details related  
20 to CWIP later in my testimony.

21 The Commission also denied the inclusion of non-executive incentive  
22 expense in Docket No. 09-414 on the basis that the amount had not been clearly  
23 defined during the proceeding.

**CWIP and AFUDC**

34. Q: **Why do you propose including CWIP and AFUDC in the Company's cost of service?**

A: Distribution projects are made up of thousands of work requests (WR) that, on an annual basis, account for the on-going additions to rate base in the form of new assets which comprise incremental capital units of property. The majority of these WR are characterized as having short construction durations and, on a per unit basis, a low cost when compared to major plant additions such as a new substation. The Company follows the appropriate procedure for accruing AFUDC at the WR level. Due to the fact that many WRs do not exceed the minimum threshold for accruing AFUDC, many of these distribution projects accrue no AFUDC and the majority of projects that do, accrue AFUDC for only a few months.

These new assets are placed into service throughout a given month but only close to plant in service on a monthly basis. The majority of this work is related to reliability, existing load and new customer service connections. A portion of these costs represent General plant, which include direct purchases and projects of short duration and lower value. It is appropriate to afford rate base treatment to these projects which are now either in service, serving customers with known and measurable costs or will very soon be in service, serving customers with known and measurable costs.

1 35. Q: What is the effect on the Company if the Commission does not allow the  
2 Company to recover the carrying cost of dollars in CWIP that are not  
3 accruing AFUDC?

4 A: The Company inappropriately bears the burden of those carrying costs. It  
5 is unfair that the Company would spend dollars on investment that will provide  
6 service to its customers but not be compensated for funding those investments.  
7 The Company should be compensated for the cost associated with that  
8 expenditure.

9 36. Q: Do you propose an alternative in this proceeding if CWIP and AFUDC are  
10 not included in cost of service?

11 A: Yes, I do. I understand that all of the parties are concerned with the  
12 relatively low effective AFUDC rate discussed by the Commission. If the  
13 Commission were to decide not to include CWIP and the associated accrued  
14 AFUDC in cost of service, there is a reasonable alternative. The Company could  
15 record a carrying cost on all CWIP. The difference between the actual accrued,  
16 recorded AFUDC and the full calculated carrying cost would be recorded as a  
17 regulatory asset. This regulatory asset would be treated in the Company's next  
18 case just as if it had been actually accrued AFUDC; that AFUDC would be  
19 amortized over an assigned life and included in rate base just as if had been  
20 capitalized.

1 37. Q: When do you propose that the calculation of this "Full AFUDC" would  
2 begin?

3 A: It would seem appropriate that it would begin when final rates in this  
4 proceeding become effective. In the Company's next proceeding, the balance of  
5 this regulatory asset would be determined from the point in time that rates were  
6 established in this proceeding through the end of the test period in the Company's  
7 next proceeding. That balance would be amortized using the average book life  
8 with the regulatory asset included in rate base. The next regulatory asset would  
9 then begin to accumulate at the end of the test period used in the Company's next  
10 proceeding.

11 Non-Executive Incentive Compensation

12 38. Q: Please explain your proposed treatment of Non-Executive Incentive  
13 Compensation.

14 A: I propose the inclusion of the test period level of non-executive incentive  
15 compensation in the Company's cost of service for this filing. In Docket No. 09-  
16 414, the Commission did not include the expense associated with non-executive  
17 incentives in cost of service because there was a concern whether the detail  
18 associated with the components related to safety, reliability and similar goals was  
19 entered into the record of the proceeding. The Commission, in its deliberation,  
20 discussed being consistent with its decision in the prior proceeding, Docket No.  
21 05-304. In Docket No. 05-304, the Commission had included incentive costs  
22 associated with achieving safety, reliability and similar goals as part of its  
23 approved revenue requirements.

1 39. Q: What has the Commission stated previously about incentive programs?

2 A: While the Commission has previously excluded the inclusion of incentive  
3 compensation payments that are primarily triggered by the achievement of  
4 financial triggers, the Commission has allowed incentives that are triggered by the  
5 achievement of safety, reliability and similar goals. The Commission's Order in  
6 Docket No. 05-304 discussed that this was a difficult issue for the Commission  
7 and they recognized that they have allowed payments made under incentive plans  
8 to be included in rates in the past. The Commission has stated that such programs  
9 benefit ratepayers by extending the period between rate cases.

10 The non-executive incentives included in the test period are a part of the  
11 total compensation package paid to employees and such programs benefit  
12 customers by extending the period between rate cases. The Company's  
13 performance incentive plans are part of employees' total compensation package.  
14 While base salaries could be increased to reflect a higher level of compensation in  
15 lieu of incentives, having an at-risk portion of compensation available is widely  
16 used to motivate employees to be more efficient and productive. For Delmarva  
17 Power, this program helps to focus employees' attention and efforts on achieving  
18 the Company's goals. Many of these goals are explicitly related to safety and  
19 customers and to the extent that other goals are financial in nature, such goals  
20 help motivate employees to keep costs down and thus will benefit customers in  
21 the ratemaking process.

22 While the specifics of the annual incentive program differ from area to  
23 area, or among levels, they all have the same framework of drivers. In particular,

1 all of the programs have an employee measure such, as safety. All of the  
2 programs also have a customer satisfaction component as well as a reliability  
3 measure. Finally, the programs all have financial components such as O&M  
4 expense control, managing capital expenditures and achieving our net income  
5 targets overall, which, if achieved, lower the revenue requirements to customers  
6 and will extend time between base rate filings.

7 All three of these areas work in concert – motivated employees looking  
8 out for the safety of themselves and the public, serving the needs and expectations  
9 of satisfied customers, and doing so in a financially responsible way. These  
10 incentives motivate employees to work safely, promote efficiency and focus on  
11 critical processes such as diversity, reliability and our customers' needs.

12 For these reasons I have not removed the non-executive incentive expense.  
13 I feel that all of the goals, including the financial triggered goals, should be  
14 included in rates.

15 **40. Q: Can you quantify the Non-Executive Incentive Expense that is included in**  
16 **the Company's filed test period?**

17 A: Yes, I can. For the test period used in this filing, the non-executive  
18 incentives total \$619,421 for the Delaware jurisdiction and of this total, \$371,652  
19 is related to customer (customer satisfaction and reliability - \$247,768), safety  
20 (\$61,942), Affirmative Action (\$30,971) and the Company's process  
21 improvement project – IDEA (\$30,971) goals). I will provide an update to this  
22 partially forecasted amount when the Company provides its update for all actual  
23 information during the course of this proceeding.



1   **41. Q: What do is your proposed treatment of Non-Executive Incentive expense?**

2       A:       I propose that all non-executive incentive expense be included in the final  
3       cost of service approved by the Commission in this proceeding. A key part of the  
4       total compensation paid to employees is these incentives, which aid in the  
5       motivation of employees to work safely, promote efficiency and focus on critical  
6       processes such as diversity, reliability and our customers' needs.

7                               **REGULATORY LAG**

8   **42. Q: What is regulatory lag?**

9       A:       Regulatory lag results when a utility's earned return on equity deviates  
10      from its regulated target. Regulatory lag produces chronic under-earning when  
11      revenue growth is less than cost growth over a prolonged period of time. Utilities  
12      can experience chronic under-earning due to events beyond their control.

13   **43. Q: Has the Company been earning less than its authorized return on equity?**

14      A:       Yes. A review of the return on equity for the last five calendar years on an  
15      unadjusted basis paints a picture of the Company not earning its authorized return  
16      over the recent past. The unadjusted return on equity as presented from the  
17      Company's annual rate of return reports for the past five years is as follows:

Table 1

Year	Earned ROE	Authorized ROE	Rev Deficiency (Excess) Millions
2006	12.48%	10.00 %	(\$8.3)
2007	11.43%	10.00%	(\$4.9)
2008	9.26%	10.00%	\$2.6
2009	5.11%	10.00%	\$17.0
2010	8.23%	10.00%	\$7.2

**44. Q: What were the major contributors to the revenue deficiency?**

A: There were two major drivers for the Company's under-earning position during the rate effective period. The first is that rate base was \$34.936 million higher than the fully adjusted results from the Commission's decision in Docket No. 09-414. The biggest driver was an increase in plant in service of \$67.008 million compared to the approved amount.

The second major driver was an increase in operating expense. While revenues did increase, operating expenses (O&M, depreciation and amortization and other than income taxes) exceeded the revenue increase by \$3.259 million.

**45. Q: Do you have evidence that this has occurred?**

A: I have compared the Commission's decision from the Company's last case, Docket No. 09-414, to the actual results for the period it was setting rates for. The rate effective period in Docket No. 09-414 was the period from April 19, 2010 through April 18, 2011. Page 1 of Schedule WMV-17 displays the fully

1 adjusted results from Docket No. 09-414. The resulting return on equity was the  
2 ordered 10.00% from that proceeding.

3 I then reviewed the March 2011 rate of return report that had been  
4 submitted to the Commission Staff. This period is very close to that period to the  
5 rate effective period that the decision in Docket No. 09-414 was based on. I  
6 applied a limited number of adjustments to display an "earned return" view,  
7 which is displayed as Page 2 of Schedule WMV-17.

8 **46. Q: What did the comparison show?**

9 A: The March 2011 "earned return" shows that the Company is only earning  
10 an 8.05% return on equity. This translates to a revenue deficiency during the rate  
11 effective period of \$7,873,000.

12 **47. Q: How do you interpret the results?**

13 A: It seems evident that the ratemaking approved by the Commission in  
14 Docket No. 09-414 did not allow the Company to earn its authorized return on  
15 equity. With the Company's increasing investment in its distribution system, the  
16 current policy of only recognizing investment that has actually been placed into  
17 service on the Company's books prior to the beginning of the rate effective period  
18 is a major contributor to the under-earning situation. In addition, the increase in  
19 operating expenses seen by the Company has not been recognized in the  
20 traditional ratemaking paradigm.

1 48. Q: Are you providing an example of the gap between the Company's cost of  
2 service presentation and the cost of service using a forecast for first year that  
3 rates will go into effect?

4 A: Yes, I am. Company Witness McGowan's Direct Testimony describes the  
5 inputs supporting the cost of service for the Company during the rate effective  
6 period. Schedule WMV-18 provides a comparison of the cost of service for the  
7 fully forecasted rate-effective period to the fully adjusted test period employing  
8 ratemaking as approved in prior proceedings.

9 49. Q: Are you proposing that the Commission approve as a ratemaking adjustment  
10 the difference that Schedule WMV-18 displays to compensate for the  
11 forecasted earnings and rate base during the rate effective period?

12 A: No, I am not. While I do believe that a fully forecasted test period  
13 synchronized to the rate effective period is the best method to allow the Company  
14 the opportunity to earn its authorized return on equity during the first year that  
15 rates are in effect, Schedule WMV-18 instead provides a quantitative example of  
16 the issue associated with the current ratemaking practices. Schedule WMV-18  
17 does support the need for the regulatory mechanisms proposed by the Company.

#### 18 REVENUE REQUIREMENT

19 50. Q: Can you summarize the adjustments that are included in this filing?

20 A: Yes, I can. Schedule WMV-1 displays all of the proforma adjustments  
21 included in this filing and the earnings and rate base impact.

1   **51. Q: Please summarize the Company's overall revenue deficiency.**

2       A:       Schedule WMV-2 displays the calculation of the Company's revenue  
3       deficiency of \$31,760,741. This calculation includes the effect of all of the  
4       proforma adjustments to the test period level of earnings and rate base and uses  
5       the Direct Testimony of Company Witness McGowan's supplied rate of return of  
6       7.87%.

7   **52. Q: Does this conclude your testimony?**

8       A:       Yes, it does.

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution Rate of Return**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>System Electric</u>	(4) <u>Total Distribution</u>	(5) <u>Delaware Distribution</u>
1	<b><u>Rate Base</u></b>			
2	Electric Plant in Service	\$ 2,531,773,302	\$ 1,750,274,619	\$ 1,031,587,403
3	Less: Depreciation Reserve	\$ 985,831,918	\$ 684,728,549	\$ 398,461,506
4	Net Plant in Service	\$ 1,545,941,384	\$ 1,065,546,069	\$ 633,125,897
5				
6	CWIP	\$ 128,323,254	\$ 68,927,930	\$ 42,446,205
7	Working Capital	\$ 19,666,611	\$ 19,666,611	\$ 10,694,758
8	Plant Materials & Supplies	\$ 25,621,566	\$ 20,633,357	\$ 12,161,012
9	Plant Held For Future Use	\$ 10,288,155	\$ 2,553,004	\$ -
10	Prepaid Balances	\$ 73,001,605	\$ 65,733,738	\$ 40,678,780
11	Deferred Federal and State Tax Balance	\$ (500,831,193)	\$ (261,680,228)	\$ (153,208,202)
12	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	\$ (5,757,176)	\$ (3,409,557)	\$ (2,198,205)
13	Customer Deposits	\$ (20,728,659)	\$ (20,728,659)	\$ (12,700,490)
14	Customer Advances	\$ (3,498,207)	\$ (3,498,207)	\$ (1,535,856)
15				
16	Total Rate Base	\$ 1,272,027,342	\$ 953,744,059	\$ 569,463,900
17				
18	<b><u>Earnings</u></b>			
19	Operating Revenues	\$ 1,087,801,721	\$ 296,949,540	\$ 171,224,960
20				
21	O & M Expense	\$ 870,404,213	\$ 172,806,058	\$ 98,759,794
22	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 63,232,284	\$ 51,050,644	\$ 27,066,451
23	Taxes Other than Income Taxes	\$ 31,851,553	\$ 19,469,844	\$ 7,841,811
24	Deferred FIT Expense	\$ 69,359,040	\$ 15,595,805	\$ 9,160,966
25	Deferred SIT Expense	\$ 9,271,399	\$ 4,081,568	\$ 2,494,145
26	Net ITC Adjustment	\$ (677,190)	\$ (483,578)	\$ (292,617)
27	State Income Tax	\$ 717,295	\$ (1,630,913)	\$ (490,807)
28	Federal Income Tax	\$ (42,014,030)	\$ (6,231,770)	\$ (1,974,512)
29	Total Operating Expenses	\$ 1,002,144,565	\$ 254,657,658	\$ 142,565,231
30				
31	Operating Income	\$ 85,657,156	\$ 42,291,882	\$ 28,659,729
32				
33	AFUDC	\$ 4,167,758	\$ 2,251,652	\$ 1,556,503
34	Misc Earnings Items	\$ (52,498)	\$ (52,498)	\$ (31,801)
35	Earnings	\$ 89,772,417	\$ 44,491,036	\$ 30,184,431

Delmarva Power & Light Company  
Delaware Electric Distribution Adjustments  
6+6 Months Ending December 2011

(1) Line No.	(2) Item	(3) Witness	(4) Earnings	(5) Rate Base	(6) ROR	(7) ROE
1	Per Books Unadjusted	VonSteuben	\$30,184,431	\$569,463,900		
2						
3	Adjustments:					
4	1 Weather Normalization	VonSteuben	(\$514,774)	\$0		
5	2 Revenue Normalization	Santacecilia	(\$649,996)	\$0		
6	3 Regulatory Commission Exp Normalization	VonSteuben	(\$63,766)	\$0		
7	4 Injuries and Damages Exp Normalization	Ziminsky	(\$370,616)	\$0		
8	5 Uncollectible Expense Normalization	VonSteuben	(\$73,511)	\$0		
9	6 Wage and Fica Expense	VonSteuben	(\$958,517)	\$0		
10	7 Remove Employee Association Expense	VonSteuben	\$57,289	\$0		
11	8 Proform Benefits Expense	Ziminsky	(\$286,417)	\$0		
12	9 Proform OPEB Expense	Ziminsky	\$19,523	\$0		
13	10 Proform Pension Expense	Ziminsky	\$58,777	\$0		
14	11 Removal of Executive Incentive Compensation	VonSteuben	\$612,978	\$0		
15	12 Removal of Certain Officer Compensation	VonSteuben	\$38,092	\$0		
16	13 Storm Restoration Expense Normalization	VonSteuben	\$1,883,778	\$0		
17	14 Amortize Hurricane Irene Costs	VonSteuben	\$1,402,598	\$1,753,248		
18	15 Reflect IRP Recurring Expense	Ziminsky	(\$720,903)	\$0		
19	16 Reflect Increased Customer Care Expense	Dickerson	(\$438,520)	\$0		
20	17 Amortize IRP Deferred Costs	Ziminsky	(\$6,050)	\$57,474		
21	18 Amortize RFP Deferred Costs	Ziminsky	(\$2,818)	\$26,771		
22	19 Increased O&M Associated with New Customer Information System	Ziminsky	(\$381,942)	\$0		
23	20 Postage Increase	Ziminsky	(\$45,624)	\$0		
24	21 Reliability Plant Closing Adjustment Jan 11 - Dec 11	VonSteuben	(\$607,847)	\$397,219		
25	22 Proform Forecasted Reliability Closings Jan 12 - Sep 12	VonSteuben	(\$299,844)	\$24,693,360		
26	23 Amortization of Actual Refinancing Costs	Ziminsky	(\$388,924)	\$3,568,332		
27	24 Amortize Qualified Fuel Cell Provider Project Costs	Ziminsky	\$105,203	\$131,504		
28	25 Amortize Medicare Subsidy Deferred Costs	Ziminsky	(\$21,865)	\$54,664		
29	26 Remove Post-80 ITC Amortization	Ziminsky	(\$255,737)	\$0		
30	27 Recover Credit Facilities Expense	Ziminsky	(\$142,345)	\$186,271		
31	28 Interest Synchronization	VonSteuben	\$311,050	\$0		
32	29 Cash Working Capital	VonSteuben	\$0	(\$383,020)		
33						
34						
35	Adjusted Total		\$28,443,699	\$599,949,723	4.74%	4.43%

**Schedule WMV-2**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company  
Delaware Distribution  
6+6 Months Ending December 2011 Test Period  
Determination of Revenue Requirements**

<b>(1) Line No.</b>	<b>(2) <u>Item</u></b>	<b>(3) <u>Detail</u></b>
1	Adjusted Net Rate Base	\$599,949,723
2	Required Rate of Return	7.87%
3	Required Operating Income	\$47,216,043
4	Pro Forma Operating Income	\$28,443,699
5	Operating Income Deficiency	\$18,772,344
6	Revenue Conversion Factor	1.69189
7	Revenue Requirement	\$31,760,741





**Schedule WMV-4**  
**Adjustment 1**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Weather Normalization**  
**6 Months Ending June 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Generation</u>	(4) <u>Transmission</u>	(5) <u>Distribution</u>	(6) <u>Total</u>
1	Total Revenue Adjustment	(\$3,516,949)	(\$208,603)	(\$870,943)	(\$4,596,495)
2					
3	Expense				
4	O&M Expense	(\$3,516,949)	\$0	\$0	(\$3,516,949)
5	Other Taxes	\$0	(\$842)	(\$3,517)	(\$4,359)
6	State Income Tax	\$0	(\$18,075)	(\$75,466)	(\$93,541)
7	Federal Income Tax	\$0	(\$66,390)	(\$277,186)	(\$343,576)
8	Total Expense	(\$3,516,949)	(\$85,307)	(\$356,169)	(\$3,958,425)
9					
10	Earnings	\$0	(\$123,296)	(\$514,774)	(\$638,070)

**Schedule WMV-5**  
**Adjustment 3**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Regulatory Commission Expense**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Delaware Distribution</u>
1	Non - Rate Case Regulatory Commission Expense	
2	(3 Year Average)	\$68,366 (1)
3		
4	Non - Rate Case Regulatory Commission Expense	
5	Included in Test Period:	\$91,449
6		
7	Adjustment to Per Books to normalize non-base case	
8	Regulatory Commission Expense	<u>(\$23,083)</u>
9		
10	Cost of Current Case (2) \$675,000	
11	Amount included in Adjustment	\$225,000
12		
13	Total Normalized Expense Adjustment	<u>\$201,917</u>
14		
15	Remove Rate Case Expense from Per Books	(\$94,467)
16		
17	Total Regulatory Commission Expense Adjustment	\$107,450
18		
19	SIT	(\$9,348)
20	FIT	<u>(\$34,336)</u>
21	Net Expense	\$63,766
22		
23	Earnings	<u>(\$63,766)</u>

(1) Basis for Normalized Expense

12 m/e 12/31/09	\$70,639	
12 m/e 12/31/10	\$43,010	
6+6 m/e 12/31/11	<u>\$91,449</u>	excludes \$265,910 associated with Bloom
Average	\$68,366	

(2) Cost of Current Case

External Legal	\$250,000
Cost of Capital Witness	\$135,000
Investor Perspective Witness	\$65,000
Court reporter/notice/etc	\$25,000
DPSC	<u>\$200,000</u>
Total	\$675,000

**Schedule WMV-6**  
**Adjustment 5**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Normalization of Uncollectible Expense**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) <b>Line No.</b>	(2) <b><u>Item</u></b>	(3) <b>Delaware <u>Electric</u></b>
1	Delaware Electric Uncollectible Expense	
2	(3 Year Average):	\$1,732,502 (1)
3		
4	Delaware Electric Uncollectible Expense	
5	Included in Test Period (non-SOS):	<u>\$1,608,631</u> (1)
6		
7	Adjustment to Per Books Uncollectible Expense	\$123,871
8		
9	SIT	(\$10,777)
10	FIT	<u>(\$39,583)</u>
11		
12	Net Expense	\$73,511
13		
14	Earnings	<u><u>(\$73,511)</u></u>

(1) Delaware Electric - non-SOS

12 m/e 12/31/09	\$2,102,515
12 m/e 12/31/10	\$1,486,359
6+6 m/e 12/31/11	<u>\$1,608,631</u>
3 Year Average	\$1,732,502

**Schedule WMV-7**  
**Adjustment 6**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Wage, Salary and FICA Expense Adjustment**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Delmarva Total</u>	(4) <u>Delaware Distribution</u>
1	<b><u>Salary and Wage Adjustment</u></b>		
2	DPL Electric Expense	\$2,791,419	
3			
4	Distribution Expense		89.36%
5	Delaware Distribution		58.94%
6			
7	Expense		\$1,470,117
8			
9	State Income Tax @ 8.7 %		(\$127,900)
10	Federal Income Tax		(\$469,776)
11	Total Expense		\$872,441
12			
13	Earnings		(\$872,441)
14			
15	<b><u>FICA Adjustment</u></b>		
16	DPL Electric Expense	\$152,198	
17			
18	Distribution Expense		89.36%
19	Delaware Distribution		58.94%
20			
21	Expense		\$80,156
22			
23	State Income Tax @ 8.7 %		(\$6,974)
24	Federal Income Tax		(\$25,614)
25	Total Expense		\$47,569
26			
27	Earnings		(\$47,569)
28			
29	<b><u>Signing Bonus</u></b>		
30	Union Signing Bonus - Electric	\$649,013	
31	Amortization - 3 Years	\$216,338	
32			
33	Total Expense Adjustment of Signing Bonus		\$216,338
34			
35	Electric Expense/Capital Ratio		56.95%
36	Distribution Expense		89.36%
37	Delaware Distribution		58.94%
38			
39	Expense		\$64,888
40			
41	State Income Tax @ 8.7 %		(\$5,645)
42	Federal Income Tax		(\$20,735)
43	Total Expense		\$38,508
44			
45	Earnings		(\$38,508)
46			
47	<b>Total Earnings Adjustment</b>		<b><u>(\$958,517)</u></b>

**Schedule WMV-8**  
**Adjustment 7**

**Delmarva Power & Light**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Employee Association Expenses**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) Item	(3) \$
1	Employee Association expenses - total DPL	\$202,209
2		
3	Delmarva Power & Light Electric allocation	<u>81.00%</u>
4		
5	Employee Association expenses - total DPL Electric	\$163,789
6		
7	Delaware Distribution Allocation	<u>58.94%</u>
8		
9	Impact to Operating Expense	\$96,535
10		
11	Impact to SIT @ 8.7%	\$8,399
12		
13	Impact to FIT @ 35%	<u>\$30,848</u>
14		
15	Impact to Operating Income	<u><u>\$57,289</u></u>

**Schedule WMV-9**  
**Adjustment 11**

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Remove Executive Incentive Compensation**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

<b>(1)</b> <b>Line</b> <b><u>No.</u></b>	<b>(2)</b> <b><u>Item</u></b>	<b>(3)</b> <b><u>Distribution</u></b>
1	Remove Executive Incentive Compensation	
2	Delaware Distribution	(\$1,032,906)
3		
4	Income Taxes	
5	State Income Tax	\$89,863
6	Federal Income Tax	<u>\$330,065</u>
7	Total Income Taxes	\$419,928
8		
9	Earnings	\$612,978

Schedule WMV-10  
Adjustment 12

Delmarva Power & Light Company  
Delaware Distribution  
Remove Certain Officer Compensation  
6+6 Months Ending December 2011

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Distribution</u>
1	Remove Certain Officer Compensation	
2	Delaware Distribution	(\$64,187)
3		
4	Income Taxes	
5	State Income Tax	\$5,584
6	Federal Income Tax	<u>\$20,511</u>
7	Total Income Taxes	\$26,095
8		
9	Earnings	\$38,092



Schedule WMV-11  
Adjustment 13

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Normalization of Storm Restoration Expense**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Detail</u>
1	System Electric Storm Restoration Expense	
2	(3 Year Average)	\$15,926,073 (1)
3		
4	System Electric Storm Restoration Expense	
5	Included in Test Period:	\$21,311,818
6		
7	Adjustment to Per Books	
8	Storm Restoration Expense	(\$5,385,745)
9		
10	Delaware Distribution Allocation	58.94%
11		
12	Delaware Distribution O&M Expense	(\$3,174,282)
13		
14	SIT	\$276,163
15	FIT	<u>\$1,014,342</u>
16		
17	Net Expense	(\$1,883,778)
18		
19	Earnings	<u><u>\$1,883,778</u></u>

(1)	<u>System Electric</u>	<u>Distribution</u>	
	12 m/e 12/31/09	\$11,167,103	
	12 m/e 12/31/10	\$15,299,298	
	6+6 m/e 12/31/11	<u>\$21,311,818</u>	excludes \$3,545,197 of Hurricane Irene incremental expense
	Average	\$15,926,073	

Schedule WMV-12  
Adjustment 14

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**Amortize Hurricane Irene Costs**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>System Electric</u>	(4) <u>DE D Alloc Factor</u>	(5) <u>DE Distribution</u>
1	<b><u>Earnings</u></b>			
2	Amortization	\$1,181,732	100%	\$1,181,732 (1)
3	Remove Expense in Test Period			<u>(\$3,545,197)</u>
4	Adjustment			<u>(\$2,363,465)</u>
5				
6	State Income Tax			\$205,621
7	Federal Income Tax			<u>\$755,245</u>
8	Total Expenses			<u>(\$1,402,598)</u>
9				
10	Earnings			\$1,402,598
11				
12	<b><u>Rate Base</u></b>			
13	Average Amortizable Balance	\$2,954,331	100%	\$2,954,331 (2)
14				
15	Deferred State Income Tax			<u>(\$257,027)</u>
16	Deferred Federal Income Tax			<u>(\$944,056)</u>
17	Net Rate Base			<u>\$1,753,248</u>

(1) DP&L Delaware	\$ 3,545,197
Amortization period - years	3
Annual amortization amount	\$ 1,181,732
(2) DP&L Delaware - beg balance	\$ 3,545,197
DP&L Delaware - end balance	<u>\$ 2,363,465</u>
DP&L Delaware - avg balance	<u>\$ 2,954,331</u>

Schedule WMV-13  
Adjustment 16

Delmarva Power & Light Company  
Delaware Distribution  
Reflect Increases Customer Care Expense  
6+6 Months Ending December 2011

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Line		Total Additional	Reflected in	
No.	<u>Item</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>Actual Months</u> <u>of Test Year</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>
1	Incremental customer care expense:			
2	Personnel	\$2,860,141	\$194,275	\$2,665,866
3	Infrastructure (hardware and software)	\$116,184	\$0	\$116,184
4	Crisis Call Center	\$165,333	\$0	\$165,333
5	Customer education and research	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
6				
7	Total annual recurring incremental expense	\$3,241,658	\$194,275	\$3,047,384
8				
9	Allocation to DPL Electric			41.93%
10				
11	DPL Electric			\$1,277,768
12				
13	Delaware Electric Distribution Allocator			57.83%
14				
15	Delaware Distribution			\$738,933
16				
17	SIT			(\$64,287)
18	FIT			(\$236,126)
19	Total Expense			\$438,520
20				
21	Earnings			(\$438,520)

Schedule WMV-14  
Adjustment 21

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Delaware Distribution**  
**January 2011 to December 2011 Test Period Reliability Closings**  
**6+6 Months Ending December 2011**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) \$
1	Rate Base	
2	Plant in Service	
3	Reliability closings January 2011 - December 2011	\$16,667,930
4	Retirements January 2011 - December 2011	<u>(\$6,371,250)</u>
5	Adjustment to Plant in Service	\$10,296,680
6		
7	Depreciation reserve	
8	Retirements January 2011 - December 2011	(\$6,371,250)
9	Depreciation Expense	<u>\$134,887</u>
10	Adjustment to Depreciation Reserve	(\$6,236,363)
11		
12	Net Plant	<u>\$16,533,044</u>
13		
14	CWIP	(\$12,802,489)
15		
16	Deferred Taxes	(\$3,333,335)
17		
18	Total Rate Base	<u>\$397,219</u>
19		
20	Earnings	
21	Depreciation Expense	
22	Reliability closings January 2011 - December 2011	\$436,700
23	Retirements January 2011 - December 2011	<u>(\$166,927)</u>
24	Adjustment to Depreciation Expense	\$269,773
25		
26	Deferred Taxes	
27	State Income Tax	(\$1,450,110)
28	Federal Income Tax	(\$5,326,237)
29	Deferred State Income Tax	\$1,426,640
30	Deferred Federal Income Tax	\$5,240,031
31		
32	Operating Expense	<u>\$160,097</u>
33		
34	Operating Income	<u>(\$160,097)</u>
35		
36	AFUDC	(\$447,750)
37		
38	Total Earnings	<u>(\$607,847)</u>

**Delmarva Power  
Delaware Distribution  
January 2012 to September 2012 Forecasted Reliability Closings**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>\$</u>
1	<b>Rate Base</b>	
2	Plant in Service	
3	Reliability closings January 2012 - September 2012	\$27,653,962
4	Retirements January 2012 - September 2012	<u>(\$8,369,394)</u>
5	Adjustment to Plant in Service	\$19,284,568
6		
7	Depreciation reserve	
8	Retirements January 2012 - September 2012	(\$8,369,394)
9	Depreciation expense	<u>\$252,628</u>
10	Adjustment to Depreciation Reserve	(\$8,116,766)
11		
12	Net Plant	<u>\$27,401,334</u>
13		
14	Deferred Taxes	(\$2,707,974)
15		
16	Total Rate Base	<u><u>\$24,693,360</u></u>
17		
18	<b>Earnings</b>	
19	Depreciation Expense	
20	Reliability closings January 2012 - September 2012	\$724,534
21	Retirements January 2012 - September 2012	<u>(\$219,278)</u>
22	Adjustment to Depreciation Expense	\$505,256
23		
24	State Income Tax	(\$1,202,947)
25	Federal Income Tax	(\$4,418,412)
26	Deferred State Income Tax	\$1,158,990
27	Deferred Federal Income Tax	\$4,256,957
28		
29	Operating Expense	<u>\$299,844</u>
30		
31	Operating Income	<u>(\$299,844)</u>
32		
33	Total Earnings	<u><u>(\$299,844)</u></u>

(1)	Line	No.
-----	------	-----

**Delmarva Power & Light Company**  
**Analysis of Regulatory Lag from DPSC Dkt No. 09-414 Decision**

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Item</u>	<u>Dkt No. 09-414 Decision Fully Adjusted</u>	<u>March 2011 Avg Adjusted</u>	<u>Difference</u>
1	<b><u>Rate Base</u></b>			
2	Electric Plant in Service	916,255,120	983,262,661	67,007,540
3	Less: Depreciation Reserve	356,902,660	388,241,268	31,338,608
4	Net Plant in Service	559,352,460	595,021,392	35,668,933
5				
6	CWIP	0	0	0
7	Working Capital	5,143,268	4,174,227	(969,041)
8	Plant Materials & Supplies	9,943,265	11,449,176	1,505,911
9	Amortizable Balances	16,124,491	15,069,130	(1,055,361)
10	Prepaid Balances	11,882,538	30,853,574	18,971,037
11	Deferred Federal and State Tax Balance	(144,896,763)	(162,406,869)	(17,510,106)
12	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	(3,058,358)	(2,423,740)	634,619
13	Customer Deposits	(10,227,834)	(12,063,174)	(1,835,340)
14	Customer Advances	(999,423)	(1,473,607)	(474,183)
15				
16	Total Rate Base	443,263,643	478,200,112	34,936,468
17				
18	<b><u>Earnings</u></b>			
19	Operating Revenues	164,295,190	172,059,470	7,764,280
20				
21	O & M Expense	83,935,301	92,537,819	8,602,518
22	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	25,373,148	26,985,291	1,612,143
23	Taxes Other than Income Taxes	7,014,973	7,823,790	808,817
24	Income Taxes	14,164,492	13,114,215	(1,050,277)
25	Net ITC Adjustment	(82,429)	(28,958)	53,471
26	Total Operating Expenses	130,405,485	140,432,157	10,026,672
27				
28	Operating Income	33,889,705	31,627,313	(2,262,392)
29				
30	AFUDC	-	-	-
31	Misc Earnings Items	(157,310)	(31,611)	125,700
32	Earnings	33,732,394	31,595,702	(2,136,692)
33				
34	Rate of Return	7.61%	6.61%	
35	Return on Equity	10.00%	8.05%	
36	Revenue Deficiency @ authorized 10.00% ROE		7,873,098	

**Delmarva Power**  
**Delaware Electric Distribution Rate of Return**  
**12 Months Ending March 2011**  
**Earned Return**

(1) Line No.	(2) <u>Item</u>	(3) <u>Test Period COS</u>	(4) <u>Adjustments</u>	(5) <u>Earned Return Adjusted</u>
1	<b><u>Rate Base - Average</u></b>			
2	Electric Plant in Service	983,262,661	-	983,262,661
3	Less: Depreciation Reserve	388,241,268	-	388,241,268
4	Net Plant in Service	595,021,392	-	595,021,392
5				
6	CWIP	52,190,361	(52,190,361)	0
7	Working Capital	4,330,452	(156,225)	4,174,227
8	Plant Materials & Supplies	11,449,176	-	11,449,176
9	Plant Held For Future Use	-	15,069,130	15,069,130
10	Prepaid Balances	30,853,574	-	30,853,574
11	Deferred Federal and State Tax Balance	(156,356,369)	(6,050,500)	(162,406,869)
12	Deferred Investment Tax Credit	(2,423,740)	-	(2,423,740)
13	Customer Deposits	(12,063,174)	-	(12,063,174)
14	Customer Advances	(1,473,607)	-	(1,473,607)
15				
16	Total Rate Base	521,528,068	(43,327,956)	478,200,112
17				
18	<b><u>Earnings</u></b>			
19	Operating Revenues	175,261,226	(3,201,756)	172,059,470
20				
21	O & M Expense	99,113,374	(6,575,555)	92,537,819
22	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	26,248,184	737,107	26,985,291
23	Taxes Other than Income Taxes	7,746,109	77,682	7,823,790
24	Deferred FIT Expense	22,052,625	(263,747)	21,788,878
25	Deferred SIT Expense	6,004,001		6,004,001
26	Net ITC Adjustment	(284,550)	255,592	(28,958)
27	State Income Tax	(3,520,632)	379,458	(3,141,174)
28	Federal Income Tax	(12,931,242)	1,393,753	(11,537,489)
29	Total Operating Expenses	144,427,869	(3,995,711)	140,432,157
30				
31	Operating Income	30,833,357	793,955	31,627,313
32				
33	AFUDC	2,219,356	(2,219,356)	-
34	Misc Earnings Items	(40,042)	8,431	(31,611)
35	Earnings	33,012,672	(1,416,970)	31,595,702
	Rate of Return	6.33%		6.61%
	Return on Equity	7.50%		8.05%
	Revenue Deficiency @ authorized 10.00% ROE	11,033,411		7,873,098



## Delmarva Power &amp; Light Company

Delaware Distribution

Twelve Months Ending Jun 30, 2013

(Fully Projected)

\$000's

(1) Line No.	(2) Item	(3) 12 Mos. Ending 6/30/2013	(4) Adjustments for DE Cost of Service	(5) Adjusted Col (1) + Col (2)	(6) Initial Revenue Increase Requested	(7) DE Adjusted Col (3) + Col (4)	(8) Revenue to Achieve 10.75% Return on Equity	(9) Adjusted to Reflect 10.75% Return on Equity Col (5) + Col (6)
1	<b>Rate Base</b>							
2	Electric Plant in Service	1,167,050		\$ 1,167,050		\$ 1,167,050		\$ 1,167,050
3	Accumulated Depreciation/Amortization	(428,597)		(428,597)		(428,597)		(428,597)
4	CWIP	20,041		20,041		20,041		20,041
5	Materials and Supplies	11,838		11,838		11,838		11,838
6	Cash Working Capital	10,019		10,019		10,019		10,019
7	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	(173,208)		(173,208)		(173,208)		(173,208)
8	Prepaid Balances. (net of tax)	35,090		35,090		35,090		35,090
9	Customer Deposits	(14,306)		(14,306)		(14,306)		(14,306)
10	Customer Advances	(1,702)		(1,702)		(1,702)		(1,702)
11	DPL DE Portion of Servco Assets	5,637		5,637		5,637		5,637
12	Regulatory assets	-	9,466	9,466		9,466		9,466
13								
14	Total Rate Base	\$ 631,860	\$ 9,466	\$ 641,326		\$ 641,326		\$ 641,326
15	<b>Earnings</b>							
16	Operating Revenues							
17	Sale of Electricity	\$ 159,492		\$ 159,492		\$ 159,492		
18	Other Revenues	3,457		3,457		3,457		
19	Operating Revenues	\$ 162,950	\$ -	\$ 162,950	\$ 31,761	\$ 194,711	\$ 19,758	\$ 214,469
20								
21	Operating Expenses							
22	Operation and Maintenance	\$ 97,194	(\$1,033)	\$ 96,161		\$ 96,161		\$ 96,161
23	Depreciation/Amortization	31,260	2,631	33,892		33,892		33,892
24	Other Taxes	7,992		7,992	128	8,120	80	8,200
25	State Income Tax	902	(139)	763	2,752	3,515	1,712	5,227
26	Federal Income Tax	5,066	(511)	4,555	10,108	14,663	6,288	20,951
27								
28	Total Operating Expenses	\$ 142,414	\$ 948	\$ 143,362	\$ 12,988	\$ 156,351	\$ 8,080	\$ 164,431
29								
30	Operating Income	\$ 20,536	\$ (948)	\$ 19,588	\$ 18,773	\$ 38,360	\$ 11,678	\$ 50,038
31								
32	AFUDC	475		475		475		475
33	Misc Earnings Items	41		41		41		41
34	Earnings	\$ 20,970	\$ (948)	\$ 20,022	\$ 18,773	\$ 38,794	\$ 11,678	\$ 50,472
35								
36	<b>Jurisdictional Return on Rate Base</b>	3.32%		3.12%		6.05%		7.87%
37								
38	Less Weighted Cost of:							
39	Long Term Debt	2.55%		2.55%		2.55%		2.55%
40								
41	Net amount available for common equity	0.77%		0.57%		3.50%		5.32%
42								
43	Common Equity ratio	49.48%		49.48%		49.48%		49.48%
44								
45	<b>Jurisdictional Return on Equity</b>	1.55%		1.16%		7.07%		10.75%